VERGIL'S LEAF- AND BIRD-SIMILES OF GHOSTS

GEORGE THANIEL

In his Commentary on the sixth book of the Aeneid Eduard Norden has argued that Vergil's two similes of ghosts (Aen. 6.309–312) must have been taken together by Vergil himself from the lost Catabasis of Heracles, an early epic account of Heracles' journey to the world of shades. An article published recently in Phoenix undertakes to review Norden's views on this matter and also to clarify the problem with new evidence. The purpose of this article is to reopen the whole question.

Norden's thesis is as follows. The point of comparison in the two similes is primarily number (the italics are mine); the multitude of leaves and birds illustrates the great number of souls.3 However, the similes carry another connotation, for they also have to do with the nature of the objects compared. For example, the leaves of trees fall; likewise, life's bloom fades away. Two Greek poets, Bacchylides (Epinicians 5.63 ff.) and Sophocles (OT 175 ff.), have compared the souls of the dead to leaves and birds respectively. On the other hand, we find in Homer two passages which look like the two Vergilian similes, although the object of comparison in these passages (Il. 6.146 ff., 3.2 ff.) is not souls, but mankind in the first case and warriors in the second. Norden asks himself whether we should assume that Vergil borrowed the leaf-simile from Bacchylides and the bird-simile from Sophocles, while glancing at the same time at Homer, but dismisses the notion as improbable. Instead, he thinks that Bacchylides, Sophocles, and Vergil found their similes side by side in the Catabasis of Heracles. Bacchylides borrowed the leafsimile, Sophocles borrowed the bird-simile, and Vergil took them both. Moreover, Norden assumes, if I am not mistaken, that the author of the Catabasis of Heracles fashioned his two similes out of the Homeric models cited above.

One way of testing the credibility of Norden's view is to start with the *Catabasis of Heracles*, examine all evidence of its existence and content, its possible connections with Homer, its influence on later poetry, especially Bacchylides, Sophocles, and Vergil, and finally focus attention on the two similes and decide whether it can be said that they were taken by

¹P. Vergilius Maro: Aeneis Buch VI⁴ (Stuttgart 1957) 223-224.

²R. J. Clark, "Two Virgilian Similes and the 'Ηρακλέους Κατάβασις," Phoenix 24 (1970) 244-255. It will be clear from what follows that I disagree with Clark's conclusions.

³This crucial point has been overlooked by Clark in his statement of Norden's views on the similes, and so does not play the major part that it ought to have done in his subsequent research into Vergil's models.

Vergil from that work.⁴ Another method, sounder I think, is to start from the very beginning and examine all possible examples from Greek poetry which relate to Vergil's passage, with the purpose of determining whether Norden was right in citing the instances which he cites, and whether he has overlooked other instances of such similes that could shed more light on our problem. In view of Vergil's capacity for subtle analogy, we must not assume that only similes in which ghosts are compared to leaves and birds should be taken into account.⁵ The primary aspect of Vergil's similes, number, will inevitably direct us to other similes where the subject of comparison is other than ghosts. Let us look first at the leaf-simile.

In Homer, besides the well-known simile of Il. 6.146 ff. (cited by Norden), οἴη περ φύλλων γενεή, τοίη δὲ καὶ ἀνδρῶν, we find the following four leaf-similes:

ἔσταν δ' ἐν λειμῶνι Σκαμανδρίῳ ἀνθεμόεντι μυρίοι, ὅσσα τε φύλλα καὶ ἄνθεα γίγνεται ὥρη [Il. 2.467-468]
λίην γὰρ φύλλοισιν ἐοικότες ἢ ψαμάθοισιν ἔρχονται πεδίοιο μαχησόμενοι προτὶ ἄστυ [Il. 2.800-801]
οἳ φύλλοισιν ἐοικότες, ἄλλοτε μέν τε ζαφλεγέες τελέθουσιν, ἀρούρης καρπὸν ἔδοντες, ἄλλοτε δὲ φθινύθουσιν ἀκήριοι [Il. 21.464-466]
ἢλθον ἔπειθ' ὅσα φύλλα καὶ ἄνθεα γίγνεται ὥρη, ἡέριοι [Od. 9.51-52]

4Such a pattern of investigation is indicated at the beginning of Clark's article, which cites Norden's explanation, with reference to a hypothetical Catabasis of Heracles, of two structural problems in Aeneid Book Six, one involving the location of Cerberus in hell, and the other the scene in which Aeneas draws his sword against the unsubstantial shades. With regard to the first problem, while admitting the ingenuity of Norden's interpretation of Aen. 6.395-396 in connection with the Catabasis of Heracles, I would not go as far as to say that Vergilian commentators have been wrong to take ipsius a solio regis with traxitque instead of with petivit. The two interpretations of Servius ad loc. seem to me legitimate, each in its own right. In fact, the first one suits the context better than Norden's idea; for it is more natural for Charon to be angry at an unauthorized abduction of Cerberus than at an act which Pluto had himself authorized.

6Cf. n. 23.

*Of the four similes Clark accords a passing reference to the first, second, and fourth (247, n. 9), without considering them in relation to Vergil's similes. His reference reflects, I think, Knauer's listing; cf. G. N. Knauer, Die Aeneis und Homer (Göttingen 1964) 395. Of the other commentators on Vergil J. Conington, P. Vergili Maronis Opera 2 (London 1884) 467, points to Il. 2.467-468 in connection with Ap. Rhod. Argon. 4.216-217, but does not relate the passage to Vergil's simile; H. E. Butler, The Sixth Book of the Aeneid (Oxford 1920) 155, does not refer to Homer at all, while F. Fletcher, Vergil: Aeneid VI (Oxford 1955) 57, seems to follow Norden in citing only Il. 6.146 ff. Cf. also the leaf-simile of Od. 7.105-106.

Of these four similes the third plainly resembles that of 6.146 ff.; both illustrate the frailty and brevity of human existence by comparing it to leaves.7 The function of the simile of Il. 6.146 ff. is to introduce, and act as a foil to, the genealogy of Glaucus, given by Glaucus himself in response to the challenge of Diomedes. A genealogy, being in its very nature a reminder of the cyclic quality of human life and of the relative unimportance of any individual, is aptly preceded by such a simile. The function of the simile of Il. 21.464 ff., which is also part of a speech (Apollo's to Zeus), acts similarly as a mirror of human mortality. The other three similes express numerosity: the multitude of leaves represents the multitude of fighting men. By this criterion (i.e., that of multitude) all three leaf-similes should in any discussion of Vergil's similes be cited ahead of the passage cited by Norden,8 although the other aspect of Vergil's leaf-simile, the transience of life expressed by the mortality of leaves, harks back to the other two similes. Thus the function of Vergil's leaf-simile combines the functions of both sets of Homeric leaf-similes.

The number-type leaf simile is found after Homer in Apollonius Rhodius' Argonautica:

ἢ ὅσα φύλλα χαμᾶζε περικλαδέος πέσεν ὕλης φυλλοχόῳ ἐνὶ μηνί — τίς ἄν τάδε τεκμήραιτο; ὡς οὶ ἀπειρέσιοι ποταμοῦ παρεμέτρεον ὅχθας, κλαγγἢ μαιμώοντες

[4.216-219]

The subject of the comparison to leaves is, as in Homer, warriors. In

⁷Cf. M. Hügi, Vergils Aeneis und die hellenistische Dichtung (Bern 1952) 30, n. 1. See the discussion in n. 9 below.

⁸On the numerosity aspect of Homer's and Vergil's similes cf. M. Coffey, "The Function of the Homeric Simile," *AJP* 78 (1957) 125; K. Preston, "Aspects of Autumn in Roman Poetry," *CP* 13 (1918) 276; Hügi, *loc. cit.* Cf. also E. S. McCartney, "Vivid Ways of Indicating Uncountable Numbers," *CP* 55 (1960) 79.

⁹The leaf-simile of Il. 6.146 ff. has been recognized to be a unique simile in Homer, since it does not spring out of an immediate action or situation in the way most of the other similes do. It is a "general example" illustrating human mortality. Cf. Coffey, op. cit., 128; B. Snell, The Discovery of the Mind (Harvard 1953) 216; J. H. Gaisser, "The Glaucus-Diomedes Episode," TAPA 100 (1969) 168. M. Treu, Von Homer zur Lyrik (Munich 1955) 230, notes that the tone of the simile is akin to elegy. This particular Homeric simile was in fact popular with Greek elegists and other poets; cf. Mimnermos 2.1 ff. D.; Semonides 29.1 f. D.; Ar. Av. 685 ff. See H. Fränkel, Dichtung und Philosophie des frühen Griechentums (Munich 1962) 230 f., 240 f.; E. Römisch, Studien zur alteren griechischen Elegie (Frankfurt 1933) 57 f.; G. Perrotta and B. Gentili. Polinnia: Poesia Greca Arcaica (Messina 1965) 39; J. Taillardat, Les Images d'Aristophane (Paris 1962) 45; V. d'Agostino, "Figurazioni Simboliche della Vita Umana nelle Opere di Luciano," RSClass 4 (1956) 204 f. In general see A. Morpurgo, "Oiη περ φύλλων ... (Iliade, VI)," Atene e Roma 8 (1927) 81-87. On mortality and leaves cf. also Aesch. Ag. 79-80. Horace, in a remarkable simile which he may have derived from Il. 6.146 ff., likens the generation of leaves to human speech (Ars P. 60-62).

this instance they are the Colchian troops, who are also compared to the countless waves of the sea in the two lines preceding the passage. Apollonius' δσα corresponds to Homer's δσσα (Il. 2.468) and Vergil's quam multa (Aen. 6.309). It is also noteworthy that Apollonius' leaf-simile is one and a half lines long, as in Vergil. Now, comparing Vergil's simile to those of either Homer or Apollonius, we find that it is superior to them in that the autumnal aspect of the leaves aptly expresses the insubstantial nature of shades. The leaf-similes of Homer and Apollonius are by contrast one-dimensional; numerosity is the exclusive point of comparison. Vergil probably found the general idea of such a simile in Homer, but he may have considered also the more particularized version of Apollonius. 11

Vergil may have also known Bacchylides' leaf-simile, 12 for which, as far as I am aware, no certain model can be pointed to in Homer, although the function of Bacchylides' simile, set as it is before Meleager's speech in which the dead hero both parades his genealogy and illustrates the transience of human life and the superiority of the divine will over human wishes (cf. 129 ff.), could be said to be comparable to the function of Il. 6.146 ff. 13 and Il. 21.464 ff. This simile has much in common with Vergil's leaf-simile. In both cases we have ghosts compared with autumnal leaves, and their locale also is similar. 14 But, apart from the fact that the leaves of Bacchylides do not behave exactly like Vergil's leaves, we no longer have öoa but ola. It may be that Bacchylides did not have in mind "multitude" as the point of comparison in his simile, 15 but simply wanted to illustrate the frailty of ghosts with the image of the dried autumnal leaves which are at the mercy of the wind. This observation is not intended to disqualify Bacchylides' leaf-simile as a possible model for Vergil's, but merely to point out that it does not deserve this title any more than Homer's or Apollonius' similes. 16 Norden's contention that

¹⁰Cf. Hügi, op. cit. 30; Conington, op. cit. 467.

11 Cf. Hügi, op. cit. 31; Conington, loc. cit.; Butler, op. cit. 156. Hermann Fränkel, Noten zu den Argonautica des Apollonios (Munich 1968) 473, points out the similarities between the Vergilian and Apollonian similes: Aen. 6.309 autumni frigore primo: Ap.Rhod. 4.217 φυλλοχόω ἐνὶ μηνὶ; Aen. 6.310 lapsa cadunt: Ap.Rhod. 4.216 χαμᾶζε... πέσεν. Cf. also Aen. 6.305 huc omnis turba ad ripas effusa ruebat: Ap.Rhod. 4.218 ἀπειρέσιοι ποταμοῦ παρεμέτρεον ὅχθας.

¹²Butler, op. cit. 155, thinks that this is Vergil's primary model. Cf. also B. Gentili, Bacchilide: Studi (Urbino 1958) 32, n. 1.

¹⁸Mary R. Lefkowitz, "Bacchylides' Ode 5: Imitation and Originality," HSCP 73 (1968) 65, and Gentili, op. cit. 32, connect Bacchylides' passage with Il. 6.146 ff.

14Cf. Clark 250 f.; Fränkel, op. cit. (above, n. 11) 473.

¹⁶Although a multitude is implied by the sequence of the passage, where the "spearwielding" Meleager is singled out from the crowd of souls.

16Lefkowitz, op. cit. 66, n. 25, justly criticizes Jebb for adding "countless" to his translation of Bacchylides and then using his translation in order to force a comparison with Vergil.

Vergil and Bacchylides took their leaf-similes from the Catabasis of Heracles cannot easily be assumed to be sound, or even "substantially strengthened," by the suppositious evidence of a poetic fragment preserved in POxy. 2622 and attributed (perhaps hastily) to Pindar.¹⁷ For, on the one hand, the very existence of the Catabasis of Heracles is based on conjecture, while on the other, the evidence of the papyrus fragment amounts to no more than a trace of a simile which may have been preceded by another simile in which ghosts were compared to leaves. Such a simile is conjectured there, it appears, because the names of Meleager and Heracles occur in the sequence of the papyrus fragment as well as in Bacchylides,¹⁸ and it is therefore presumed that both sources describe a similar scene in Hades in which the ghosts were compared to leaves. Be that as it may, no leaf-simile is evident in the papyrus fragment as it stands, and we cannot argue on the basis of its suppositious existence.¹⁹

Homer offers three bird-similes where numerosity is either expressly stated or implied as the main point of comparison.²⁰ Norden has cited one (*Il.* 3.2 ff.) as the archetype of Vergil's bird-simile; but we have also *Il.* 2.459 ff.:

τῶν δ', ὤς τ' ὀρνίθων πετεηνῶν ἔθνεα πολλά, χηνῶν ἢ γεράνων ἢ κύκνων δουλιχοδείρων, 'Ασίῳ ἐν λειμῶνι, Καϋστρίου ἀμφὶ ῥέεθρα, ἔνθα καὶ ἔνθα ποτῶνται ἀγαλλόμενα πτερύγεσσι, κλαγγηδὸν προκαθιζόντων, σμαραγεῖ δέ τε λειμών, ὡς τῶν ἔθνεα πολλὰ νεῶν ἄπο καὶ κλισιάων ἐς πεδίον προχέοντο Σκαμάνδριον, αὐτὰρ ὑπὸ χθὼν σμερδαλέον κονάβιζε ποδῶν αὐτῶν τε καὶ ἵππων

¹⁷Cf. Clark 247.

¹⁹Similarly, since there is no apparent evidence of a bird-simile in the Papyrus fragment, we cannot use the fragment to further the discussion on birds, as Clark attempts to do (250). The statement "the survival of *POxy*. 2622 at least suggests that in Pindar Vergil had a precedent for including a pair of similes in a ghost-passage" is devoid of foundation.

²⁰The search for the models of Vergil's bird-simile of ghosts seems to be complicated by the widespread belief among the ancients in winged souls, on which see G. Weicker, Der Seelenvogel in der alten Literatur und Kunst (Leipzig 1902); O. Waser, "Über die äussere Erscheinung der Seele in den Vorstellungen der Völker, zumal der Griechen," Archiv für Religionswissenschaft 16 (1913) 336–388; R. Turcan, "L'âme-oiseau et l'eschatologie orphique," Revue de l'Histoire des Religions 155 (1959) 33–40. Cf. Norden, op. cit. 224; Clark 249, n. 14. But apart from the fact that there is a difference between speaking of bird-like souls and in a simile comparing souls to a flock of birds, the primary factori n our search for examples should be, as in the case of the leaf-simile, that of numerosity.

and Od. 11.605 f.:

άμφὶ δέ μιν κλαγγή νεκύων ἦν οἰωνῶν ὥς, πάντοσ' ἀτυζομένων

In all three similes noise is as much a point of comparison as multitude. In the similes of the *Iliad*, in which warriors are likened to birds, the poet proceeds from the more general to the specific.21 The fact that the birds of Il. 3.2 ff. are migratory is probably what caught Norden's fancy, and led him to relate the simile to Aen. 6.311 ff. But it should be observed that the situation described in Homer is different from that described by Vergil. Homer's birds are on the attack, while Vergil's birds flee the winter cold. And the factor of noise, which in Homer may be more potent than that of multitude,22 is not stressed by Vergil. The same contrast can be made between Il. 2.459 ff. and Vergil's simile. In addition, the birds of Il. 2.459 ff. are pictured as flying around and even swimming. but not coming together as in Vergil's simile. The brief simile of the Odyssey again involves birds flying noisily from all sides, and thus differs from Vergil's.23 In general, while Od. 11.605 f. likens ghosts to birds, as in Vergil, Il. 3.2 ff. is in some measure recalled by the movement of Vergil's picture, and the πολλά of Il. 2.459 ff. reminds us of Vergil's quam multae.24

In his quest for Vergil's models Norden should not have overlooked the simile of Apollonius Rhodius' Argonautica:

οὐδέ κε φαίης τόσσον νηίτην στόλον ἔμμεναι, ἀλλ' οἰωνῶν ἰλαδὸν ἄσπετον ἔθνος ἐπιβρομέειν πελάγεσσιν [4.238–240]

Here again numerosity is the point of comparison, although the object compared to birds is ships,²⁵ and the situation described is in a sense the reverse of that described in Vergil. The ships are rushing out to the open sea like a noisy flock of birds,²⁶ while in the *Aeneid* the souls throng

²¹This is, of course, normal in epic. Cf. Verg. Aen. 7.699 ff.; G. 1.383 f. What is special in the simile at *Il.* 3.2 ff. is the combination in the mention of the Ocean and the Pygmies, of natural observation and mythology. Cf. A. Pischinger, Der Vogelzug bei den griechischen Dichtern des Klassischen Altertums (Eichstatt 1904) 17.

²²Cf. Pischinger, op. cit. 16.

²³Of the three Homeric similes Clark cites only *Il.* 3.2 ff., and refers, rather cryptically, to *Il.* 4.459 ff. (254, n. 26). He overlooks *Od.* 11.605 f., in spite of the fact that it refers to ghosts. The criticism, *mutatis mutandis*, applies to Norden as well. Cf. n. 5.

²⁴Numerosity is also expressed in epic by similes involving flies, bees, sand, waves, etc. See in general the article of McCartney (above, n. 8); on bees cf. Norden, op. cit. 306, and Hügi, op. cit. 29, n. 1 (a comment on Aen. 6.707-709).

²⁶For other similes in which ships are compared to birds see Pischinger, op. cit. 46. ²⁶Cf. Pischinger, loc. cit.

together as migrating birds do on the shore after a long flight over the sea. Here no use of the *Catabasis of Heracles* by Apollonius can be convincingly argued.²⁷ Similarly, it is far-fetched to say that Soph. *OT* 175 ff. echoes the *Catabasis of Heracles*. Sophocles' dramatic passage is a vivid and poetic illustration of the destructiveness of the plague in legendary Thebes. The newly dead migrate swiftly and in vast numbers²⁸ to the world of shades like birds. We have no way of knowing whether Sophocles imitated Homer or whether he influenced Vergil on this matter. It is possible that he did neither, but simply drew from the common stock of poetic speech from which Homer and Vergil also drew.

Of the similes listed above we cannot on the whole point to any particular one as being the model of Vergil's bird-simile; especially as the sight of migrating birds was undoubtedly an ordinary phenomenon for ancient man, living as he did in surroundings somewhat more natural than ours.²⁹ The spectacle of vast numbers of migrating birds could easily suggest to a poet an analogy with human beings, and by extension with ghosts, that would be independent of literary models. The same would be true of the simile of leaves. Moreover, the concept of winged souls of the dead³⁰ and the fact that the motif of leaves in Vergil's leaf-simile belongs to that general line of autumnal features which have always carried associations of melancholy³¹ complicate our search for models even further. In other words, both Vergilian similes are hard to trace,³² and under these circumstances one should be hesitant to involve

²⁷Otherwise Clark's argument concerning Vergil and Apollonius (254) appears to be sound. See Hügi, op. cit. 31, n. 2.

²⁸Clark misses the point here when he says that "the birds are flying off one by one vigorously" (248). In fact, what Sophocles has in mind is the multitude of the dying people. Cf. Pischinger, op. cit. 15, n. 51, who draws our attention also to the adjective aν aριθμοs at Soph. OT 179.

²⁹R. B. Sibson, "Birds at Troy," GR 8 (1938-9) 139-143, argues that plenty of birds can still be seen at Troy, as in the days of Homer. Alcaeus fr. 345 L.-P. describes the migratory birds with a sense of awe appropriate to a poet:

ὄρνιθες τίνες οἴδ' 'Ωκεάνω γᾶς ἀπὸ πειράτων ἦλθον πανέλοπες ποικιλόδειροι τανυσίπτεροι

Cf. Ibycus fr. 9 D. See C. M. Bowra, Greek Lyric Poetry from Alcman to Simonides (Oxford 1961) 172, 265; McCartney, op. cit. 86. Cf. also Eur. Hel. 1479 ff., which is an extended image of the type εἴθε ποτανὸς γενοίμην. Cf. R. Kannicht, Helena 2 (Heidelberg 1969) 386. Pischinger, op. cit. 18, n. 62, connects this passage with Il. 2.459 ff.

⁸⁰Cf. n. 20.

31Cf. Preston, op. cit. 276.

²²We have no way of telling whether the coupling of the leaf- and bird-similes at Il. 2.459 ff. (in an order contrary to Vergil's) is what motivated the Latin poet to put his similes together. In view of the fact that of the two Homeric similes the bird-simile is echoed elsewhere in Vergil, at Aen. 7.699-701, as Hügi notices (op. cit. 31 f.), and also because Vergil's and Homer's similes have notable differences, as we have observed, we should not, I think, try to make too much of this. After all, the coupling of similes

the *Catabasis of Heracles*,³³ especially before examining all the extra evidence which pertains to the problem, and which Norden seems to have overlooked.

In sum, Norden's thesis that Vergil borrowed the two similes of Aen. 6.309-312 from the lost Catabasis of Heracles is, if not completely untenable, at least impossible to prove, and as such it cannot be the basis of conclusions about the influence of this Catabasis on other authors. Investigation into the line of descent of Vergil's leaf- and bird-similes cannot ignore the evidence of Il. 2.467-468, 2.800-801, 21.464 ff., Od. 9.51-52, and Ap.Rhod. Argon. 4.216-219, with regard to the leafsimile, and Il. 2.459 ff., Od. 11.605-660, and Ap.Rhod. Argon. 4.238-240, with regard to the bird-simile.34 All this evidence suggests that, although we can speak of a well-defined tradition in ancient poetry in general, we cannot easily pinpoint the literary tradition of particular motifs like the two similes in question. Yet, if we want to be more precise on this matter and to trace the line of descent of the two similes from Homer to Vergil, we should speak of Homer-Apollonius Rhodius-Vergil, and Bacchylides-Vergil, rather than Homer-Catabasis of Heracles-Vergil, and Homer-Catabasis of Heracles-Bacchylides, with reference to the transmission of the leaf-simile, and Homer-Apollonius Rhodius-Vergil, and Sophocles-Vergil, rather than Homer-Catabasis of Heracles-Vergil, and Homer-Catabasis of Heracles-Sophocles, with reference to the transmission of the bird-simile.35

A little more could be said about the function of the Vergilian similes. The leaf-simile which, as observed, combines the two different sets of Homer's leaf-similes and also surpasses the leaf-simile of Apollonius by

is fairly normal in ancient epic. Norden therefore, who was quick to assume that Vergil found his two similes together in the *Catabasis of Heracles*, should have noticed the Homeric use of both similes in the same passage.

similes, like the Catabasis of Peirithous, on which see R. Merkelbach, "Πειριθοῦ Κατάβασις," StItal 24 (1950) 255-263 and R. Merkelbach—M. L. West, Fragmenta Hesiodea (Oxford 1967) 132 ff.; the Orphic Catabasis of the Bologna Papyrus, on which see R. Merkelbach, "Eine orphische Unterweltsbeschreibung auf Papyrus," MusHelv 8 (1951) 1-11; M. Treu, "Die neue 'orphische' Unterweltsbeschreibung und Vergil," Hermes 82 (1954) 24-51; T. Turcan, "La catabase orphique du papyrus de Bologne," Revue de l'Histoire des Religions 152 (1956) 136-172. Cf. also P. Boyancé, La religion de Virgile (Paris 1963) 153, 159 ff.; B. Otis, "Three problems of Aeneid 6," TAPA 90 (1959) 169 f. The bird-like soul motif is found in fol. 1v, vv. 1-2, of the Papyrus. See Merkelbach 5.

³⁴With slight modifications our discussion of the bird-simile applies also to Vergil's bird-simile at G. 4.473-474, where numerosity is again the main point of comparison between birds and ghosts. Cf. E. K. Rand, *The Magical Art of Virgil* (Hamden, Conn. 1966) 332. For leaf-similes in Roman poetry after Vergil see Preston, op. cit. 277 ff. ³⁵Cf. Clark's conclusion, 254-255.

accentuating the brevity and fragility of life and the seasonal inevitability of death³⁶ expresses further the anonymity and equality of ghosts. Among the classes of souls (Aen. 6.305 ff.) begging to be ferried across the Styx the *insepulti* are unquiet like the unburied autumn leaves. And again, the ghosts are aptly likened to the defenseless birds that always fly from the seasonal chill of death but like the transmigrating souls eventually return to the shores of light.³⁷

McMaster University, Hamilton

⁸⁶Cf. above, 240.

⁸⁷Cf. R. O. Hornsby, *Patterns of Action in the Aeneid* (Iowa 1970) 84-85; Fletcher, op. cit. 58. K. Quinn, *Virgil's Aeneid: A Critical Description* (London 1968) 432 ff., notices the greater complexity and intensity of Vergil's similes in comparison to those of Homer.